

# Scalable QoS Measurements in **Multicast Environments**

## Falko Dressler (fd@acm.org)

Department of Computer Science, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

http://bsd.rrze.uni-erlangen.de/~fd/mgm/

#### Introduction

#### Related Work

- Multicast Reachability Monitor (MRM, [2]), IETF-Draft
- Multicast Beacon (National Laboratory for Applied Network Research, [3])

The importance of providing quality of service (QoS) in communication networks for various types of real-time applications is widely accepted. QoS measurements in communication networks are required in many representations [1, 6]. Many of the most current multimedia applications use IP multicast for an efficient one-to-many transmission over the network. Thus, the employed measurement tools have to work in multicast environments as well [7]. Unfortunately, all the existing approaches for measurements show perspicuous drawbacks. Especially the scalability of such tools is an issue.

The focus of this work is to describe the a scalable environment for QoS measurements in IP multicast networks. The presented approach, named Multicast Quality Monitor (MQM, [4, 5]), is built on new concepts providing an optimum scalability. First, the so named MQM ping mechanism is a distributed and decentralized mechanism to allow the employment of high-scalable QoS measurements in the internet. Secondly, the so named MQM beacon mechanism focuses on the requirements of individual multimedia services. It was designed to reduce the impact on the network as much as possible by allowing a passive behavior using the multicast capabilities of the network by joining active multicast sessions and by analyzing the received traffic. Additionally, a central control instance is employed to prevent unnecessary and unnecessarily long lasting measurements.

Sca

(sm envi

Со

(pos para

prot Va

flows is freely configurable)

#### **MQM Ping Mechanism**



Using a single request packet sent to a multicast group, the response messages can be used to determine the network behavior between all responding probes as well (as shown in this example between P2 and P3).



The MQM ping mechanism shows a linear increase of measurement messages while the number of measurement probes increases





**Multicast Quality Monitor** 



Typical scalability behavior of multicast measurements. An increasing number of clients in the measurement results in an exponential increase of the number of measurement packets (shown for the Multicast Beacon, the same applies to the MRM.

### MQM Beacon Mechanism





	Multicast Reach- ability Monitor	Multicast Beacon	MQM Ping Mechanism	MQM Beacon Mechanism	Prevention of unnecessary and unnecessarily long lasting measurements → central coordination Management Station
alability poth adoption to a large network ronment)	0		++	+	Probe A Probe B
mpleteness / Extensibility sibility to measure all the required imeters and to detect the typical ilems)		+	+	+	
riability racteristic of the measurement data	-			++	$\setminus \Box$

### Measurement Results

- Two sample measurements are shown: 1. On the left: MQM ping measurement from Erlangen to another host in Erlangen and to a host in Regensburg; shown is the delay distribution
- 2. On the right: MQM beacon measurement (passive) from Erlangen to hosts distributed all over Bavaria, Germany; shown is the packet loss ratio during a video transmission



#### Summary and Outlook

Quality of service is the most important attribute of future communication networks quality of (real-time) multimedia transmissions

- economical relevance
- Measurements of the quality of service in multicast networks using the MQM are
- scalable (usable even in large networks)
- complete and extensible (cover all typical parameters, detect the well-known problems) variable (the characteristic of the measurement traffic flows is freely configurable)

The MQM, which is available as a prototype, may be the first step towards an universal framework for QoS measurements in the internet (unicast as well as multicast).

#### References

- [1]
- [2]
- [3]
- [4]
- A. Adams, T. Bu, R. Caceres, N. Duffield, T. Friedman, and J. Horowitz, "The Use of End-to-end Multicast Measurements for Characterizing Internal Network Behavior," IEEE Communications Magazine, May 2000. K. C. Almeroth, K. Sarac, and L. Wei, "Supporting Multicast management Using the Multicast Reachability Monitor (MRM) Protocol," UCSB, Technical Report TR 2000-26, May 2000. K. Chen, M. Kutzko, and T. Rimovsky, "Multicast Beacon Server v0.8.X (Perl)," January 2002. (http://dast.niar.net/projects/beacon) F. Dressler, "An Approach for QoS Measurements in IP Multicast Networks, MQM Multicast Quality Monitor," Proceedings of Third International Network Conference (INC 2002), Plymouth, UK, July 2002. F. Dressler, "MOM Multicast Quality Monitor," Proceedings of 10th International Conference on Telecommunication Systems, Modeling and Analysis (CTSM10), vol. 2, Monterey, CA, USA, October 2002, p. 671-678. V. Paxson, "Measurements and Analysis of End-0-End Internet Dynamics," Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, April 1997. A. Watson and M. A. Sasse, "Multimedia Conferencion via Multicast: Dateminion the Oneith of Date Televine Telev [5] [6]
- 1997. A Watson and M. A. Sasse, "Multimedia Conferencing via Multicast: Determining the Quality of Service Required by the End User," Proceedings of Internatioal Workshop on Audio-Visual Services over Packet Networks (AVSPN'97), Aberdeen, Scotland, September 1997. [7]